



Mechanisms of inward transmembrane proton translocation

Kirill Kovalev, Fedor Tsybrov, Alexey Alekseev, Vitaly Shevchenko, Dmytro Soloviov, Sergey Siletsky, Gleb Bourenkov, Michael Agthe, Marina Nikolova, David von Stetten, et al.

► To cite this version:

Kirill Kovalev, Fedor Tsybrov, Alexey Alekseev, Vitaly Shevchenko, Dmytro Soloviov, et al.. Mechanisms of inward transmembrane proton translocation. *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology*, 2023, 30 (7), pp.970-979. 10.1038/s41594-023-01020-9 . hal-04216521

HAL Id: hal-04216521

<https://hal.science/hal-04216521>

Submitted on 25 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

21 3. Source Data

Parent Figure or Table	Filename Whole original file name including extension. i.e.: <i>Smith_SourceData_Fig1.xls</i> , or <i>Smith_Unmodified Gels_Fig1.pdf</i>	Data description i.e.: Unprocessed western Blots and/or gels, Statistical Source Data, etc.
Source Data Fig. 1	Fig1.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Fig. 2	Fig2.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Extended Data Fig./Table 1	Ext1.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Extended Data Fig./Table 2	Ext2.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Extended Data Fig./Table 5	Ext5.xlsx	Experimental Source Data

22 23 24 Title: Mechanisms of inward transmembrane proton translocation

25
26 **Author list:** K. Kovalev^{1*}, F. Tsybrov^{2*}, A. Alekseev^{2,3*}, V. Shevchenko², D. Soloviov¹, S. Siletsky⁴, G.
27 Bourenkov¹, M. Agthe¹, M. Nikolova¹, D. von Stetten¹, R. Astashkin⁵, S. Bukhdruker², I. Chizhov⁶, A.
28 Royant⁵, A. Kuzmin², I. Gushchin², R. Rosselli⁷, F. Rodriguez-Valera^{2,8}, N. Ilyinskiy², A. Rogachev^{2,9}, V.
29 Borshchevskiy^{2,9}, T. R. Schneider¹, E. Bamberg¹⁰, V. Gordeliy^{2,5#}.

30 31 Affiliations:

32 ¹ European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hamburg unit c/o DESY, Hamburg, Germany

33 ² Research Center for Molecular Mechanisms of Aging and Age-Related Diseases, Moscow Institute of
34 Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia

35 ³ Institute for Auditory Neuroscience and InnerEarLab, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen,
36 Germany

37 ⁴ Belozersky Institute of Physical-Chemical Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow,
38 Russian Federation

39 ⁵ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), 38000 Grenoble, France

40 ⁶ Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Hannover Medical School, Germany

41 ⁷ Dpto. Fisiología, Genética y Microbiología, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

42 ⁸ Evolutionary Genomics Group, Departamento de Produccion Vegetal y Microbiologia, Universidad
43 Miguel Hernandez, San Juan de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

44 ⁹ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russian Federation

45 ¹⁰ Max Planck Institute of Biophysics, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

46

47 * These authors contributed equally to the work.

48 #Correspondence to: V.G. (valentin.gordeliy@gmail.com)

49 **Abstract**

50

51 Proton transport is indispensable for cell life. It is believed that molecular mechanisms of proton movement
52 through different types of proton-conducting molecules have general universal features. However, elucidation
53 of such mechanisms is a challenge. It requires true-atomic-resolution structures of all key proton conducting
54 states. Here we present a comprehensive function-structure study of a light-driven bacterial inward proton
55 pump xenorhodopsin from *Bacillus coahuilensis* in all major proton conducting states. The structures reveal
56 that proton translocation is based on proton wires regulated by internal gates. The wires serve as both
57 selectivity filters and translocation pathways for protons. The cumulative results suggest a general concept of
58 proton translocation. We demonstrate the use of serial time-resolved crystallography at a synchrotron source
59 with sub-millisecond resolution for rhodopsin studies opening the door for principally new applications. The
60 results might also be of interest for optogenetics since xenorhodopsins are the only alternative tools to fire
61 neurons.

62

Main text

Ion gradients across cell membranes are crucial for life and are maintained by ion transporters. Proton transporters are in the focus of studies of molecular mechanisms of ion transport. In many microorganisms from all domains of life proton gradients are maintained by membrane hepta- α -helical light-driven proteins - microbial rhodopsins (MRs) comprising a retinal as a chromophore¹. The most studied proton-transporting MRs are light-driven outward pumps serving as a reference for the understanding of proton transfer and storage in proteins².

Moreover, MRs found their principal application in optogenetics, which revolutionized neuroscience and offered novel biomedical approaches; recently it was used for partial vision recovery in humans³. Mostly cation channelrhodopsins (CCRs) are employed for optical activation of cells. Starting in 2016, the first inwardly-directed proton pumps (IPPs) xenorhodopsins (XeRs) were found and characterized⁴⁻⁶. IPPs are the only alternative optogenetic instruments to CCRs affording a set of competitive advantages⁶. However, the optimization of XeRs (and IPPs in general) is essential for optogenetics due to their slow kinetics resulting in insufficient photocurrents for routine usage^{4,7}. High-resolution insights into the mechanisms of XeRs functioning are imperative for their rational optimization.

Unfortunately, the molecular mechanism of inward proton translocation remains unclear. It is believed that upon light illumination the retinal Schiff base (RSB) of XeR donates its proton to the internal proton acceptor located in the cytoplasmic part of the protein^{4,6}. This transfer coincides with the formation of the strongly blue-shifted M intermediate, which then decays with the protein returning back to its ground state accompanied by a proton release to the cytoplasm and a proton uptake from the extracellular bulk⁴⁻⁶. However, there is no certain information on the internal proton transfer and also on the determinants of inwardly-directed proton translocation.

In this work, we found a bacterial xenorhodopsin from *Bacillus coahuilensis* (*BcXeR*), which is an ideal target to reveal the molecular mechanism of inward proton translocation. We used time-resolved serial crystallography at the P14 beamline of the PETRAIII synchrotron source with time resolution up to 500 μ s to capture the structural rearrangements in *BcXeR* during the photocycle. Moreover, X-ray data on the cryotrapped intermediates allowed us to build more detailed models of the ground, L, and M states at 1.6-1.7 Å resolution to visualize water-mediated proton wires inside *BcXeR* acting as pathways for unidirectional proton translocation. We validated our structural findings by functional and spectroscopic studies of the protein and its mutant forms. Based on the described data we propose a molecular mechanism of the light-driven inward proton translocation also serving as a unique reference for understanding of the proton transport in general.

BcXeR is a light-driven inward proton pump

The gene encoding *BcXeR* was identified bioinformatically in GenBank (ID: WP_059282687) using Hidden Markov Model algorithms (see Methods for details). The protein is a member of the bacterial XeR clade and could be easily expressed in *E.coli* with high yield (Extended Data Fig. 1). The same gene was previously predicted to encode a sensory rhodopsin⁸. However, *BcXeR*-expressing *E.coli* cell suspension showed strong alkalization upon illumination in different unbuffered salt solutions, abolished by the addition of the protonophore to the cell suspension (Fig. 1A). Therefore, *BcXeR* acts as an inward light-driven proton pump. Spectroscopic properties of the *BcXeR* showed that the maximum absorption wavelength of *BcXeR* in purified solubilized form is 558 nm and remains stable in a wide range of pH values, similar to that found for other XeRs⁴⁻⁶ (Extended Data Fig. 2A).

The photocycle kinetics of *BcXeR* was determined using time-resolved visible light absorption spectroscopy (Fig. 1B). The model of the photocycle was validated by investigations of temperature dependences and an Arrhenius plot (Extended Data Fig. 2B). Similar to other XeRs, *BcXeR* demonstrates a fast formation of the K state, followed by the rise of the early L state in a microsecond (Fig. 1B, Extended Data Fig. 2). Within approx. 20 ms the strongly blue-shifted M state characteristic to the deprotonated RSB is formed^{4-6,9} (Fig. 1B). The formation of the M state coincides in time with the first step of proton transfer within *BcXeR*, as evidenced by the generation of the membrane electric potential in the lipid vesicles with the rhodopsin in response to a ns green light flash (Extended Data Fig. 2C; Supplementary Note 1). Then, the M state biexponentially decays directly to the ground state with half-times of 70 ms and 0.8 s. The M decay coincides in time with the second notable proton transfer stage identified by a further change of the membrane electric potential inside the proteoliposomes containing *BcXeR* upon laser illumination (Extended Data Fig. 2C; Supplementary Note 1).

Next, we crystallized *BcXeR* using *in meso* approach and solved its ground state structure at 1.7 Å resolution¹⁰ (Table 1; see Methods for details). The photocycle of the crystallized protein is similar to that in solution, indicating that the protein remains functional in crystals (Fig. 1C, D; Extended Data Fig. 2C,D). The L state in crystals is at its highest occupancy at 30 μs and persists up to 1 ms (Fig. 1C). The M state is at its maximum occupancy at 20 ms and decays to the ground state in seconds (Fig. 1D). *BcXeR* forms trimers in crystals similar to those of other trimeric MRs, such as bacteriorhodopsin from *Halobacterium salinarum* (*HsBR*) and a XeR from *Nanosalina* (*NsXeR*)^{6,11,12} (Extended Data Fig. 3). The protein protomer has seven transmembrane α-helices (A to G) (Fig. 1E; Extended Data Fig. 3). The BC loop at the extracellular part of the protein forms a 9 Å long β-sheet (Extended Data Fig. 3). The cofactor retinal is in its all-*trans* configuration in the ground state and is covalently bound to K207 of helix G via the protonated RSB (Fig. 1E; Extended Data Fig. 4A).

The cytoplasmic part of *BcXeR* contains a large cavity between S211 and the pair of carboxylic residues E34 and D214, directly exposed to the cytoplasm (Fig. 1F). The cavity is separated from the RSB by an 8 Å

hydrophobic gap and is filled with water molecules mediating an H-bond network between the carbonyl oxygen of K207 to the E34-D214 pair (Fig. 1F). D214 is stabilized by T88. The role of these residues was studied in detail for other bacterial XERs using functional tests of their mutant forms and also FTIR studies^{4,5}. Our mutational analysis of *BcXER* showed consistent results (Extended Data Fig. 5; Supplementary Note 2). Indeed, the data suggest multiple roles of this region in XERs functioning throughout a single photocycle. First, it works as a proton acceptor from the RSB upon the formation of the M state. This is supported by our analysis of the pH dependence of the M state formation (Extended Data Fig. 2H). We showed that the rise of the M state does not depend on pH in the range between 6 and 9.5 meaning that there should be an internal proton acceptor from the RSB. Moreover, the rise of the M state is delayed at pH lower than 5, where the E34-D214 pair becomes protonated according to our structural data (Supplementary Note 3). Consequently, in the M state, the region of the E34-D214 pair is a transient proton storage place. Lastly, it also acts as a proton release group during the M-to-ground state transition. Thus, the region is a transit point for the proton coming from the RSB before its release to the cytoplasm. Therefore, we denote this cluster as a proton transit group (PTG) of *BcXER* by an analogy with the proton release group (PRG) of *HsBR* formed by E194-E204 pair and surrounding polar amino acid residues (Fig. 1F; Extended Data Fig. 6C,D)^{11,13}.

The RSB region is critical for proton translocation in *BcXER* and is conserved within XERs (Extended Data Fig. 5; Supplementary Note 2). At the extracellular side of *BcXER*, there is a quasi-continuous H-bond network propagating from the bulk to the RSB of *BcXER*, which is only disrupted near Y49 (Fig. 1G; Extended Data Fig. 7C). The central residue in this network, R70, is oriented towards a large cavity, which leads to the extracellular bulk and is filled with numerous water molecules (Fig. 1G). According to our mutational analysis, R70 is essential for inward proton pumping, unlike D118, E192, and E195, which surround the cavity (Extended Data Fig. 5; Supplementary Note 2). More details on the structure of *BcXER* in the ground state is given in Supplementary Note 4.

Structures of *BcXER* in the L and M intermediate states

To follow the early structural rearrangements in *BcXER* associated with the L state formation we used two complementary approaches. First, since the L state is accumulated in the *BcXER* crystals in sub-ms time scales (Fig. 1C), we obtained a structural snapshot of the protein with a 500- μ s-long X-ray exposure at 2.3 Å resolution with a 250 μ s delay to the trigger of a 532-nm laser flash using time-resolved serial crystallography (Fig. 2A; Table 2). Hereafter, we refer to it as a 250-750- μ s snapshot. Thus, we obtained time-resolved structural data on *BcXER* at a synchrotron source with a time resolution of 500 μ s, which is one order of magnitude higher than that shown in the studies on MRs^{14,15} (Fig. 2B). Second, we determined the structure of the cryotrapped L state in a single *BcXER* crystal at 1.6 Å resolution (Table 1). For that, the cryocooled crystal was warmed up to 170 K and illuminated for 6 min with a 633-nm laser; then, the crystal was cooled down to 100 K and the X-ray diffraction data were collected (see Methods for details). Similar procedures

have already been successfully used for the cryotrapping and structure determination of the L states of *HsBR* and the light-driven chloride pump halorhodopsin^{16,17}. The microspectrophotometry of the *BcXeR* crystal before and after the procedure indicated the accumulation and trapping of solely the L state (Fig. 2C). The cryotrapping of the L state resulted in almost twice as high occupancy of the intermediate in crystals (35% and 20% obtained with cryotrapping and time-resolved crystallography, respectively) as well as a much higher resolution (1.6 Å vs. 2.3 Å). Nevertheless, both structures demonstrate similar structural rearrangements associated with the intermediate state formation, such as the flips of L81, W173, L136 and retinal isomerization (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 4, 8B,C,F). The R.M.S.D. of the refined coordinates of the L-substructures in the cryotrapped and time-resolved data is 0.2 Å. In both cases, the $F_o - F_c$ maps shows no unexplained density above 3.0σ . However, the structure of the cryotrapped L state demonstrates more essential details such as appearance of key functional water molecules in the region between the RSB and S211 (Wat506, Wat507, and Wat508) not observed in the time-resolved L state data (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 4); therefore, hereafter we refer to the cryotrapped L intermediate.

Next, to visualize the following structural rearrangements accompanying the rise of the M state and a possible subsequent spectrally-silent sub-M state transition during its biexponential decay we first used a time-resolved serial crystallography approach. Namely, we obtained a series of 33 datasets covering in total 250 ms of the *BcXeR* photocycle with a time resolution of 7.5 ms and a spatial resolution of 2.2 Å (Fig. 2A; Table 2; Extended Data Fig. 8D,E,H,I). Structures of the intermediate states of *BcXeR* obtained at all 33 time points are identical as also evidenced by their corresponding $F_o^{\text{light}} - F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps. Nevertheless, we captured the rise of the M state occupancy in the crystals in the range between the 0-7.5 and 7.5-15 ms and its gradual decay in the following snapshots (Fig. 2D). Since our time-resolved crystallography data cover a 250 ms time range corresponding to the spectrally-silent past-M state transitions, but do not display any possible associated structural rearrangements, we assume that these past-M state transitions are also structurally-silent (or at least undeterminable at a 2.2 Å resolution).

Second, following the photocycle data in crystals (Extended Data Fig. 2) clearly indicating that under steady illumination with a 532-nm light the M state is the dominant one, we cryotrapped the M state in a single crystal of *BcXeR* and solved the structure at 1.7 Å resolution (Table 1). In brief, the crystal was illuminated at 293 K for 1 s with a 532-nm laser beam and cryocooled in nitrogen stream with the light still switched on (see Methods for details). Microspectrophotometry of the *BcXeR* crystal confirmed the accumulation and trapping of solely the M state (Fig. 2C). Indeed, the cryotrapped structure contained >70% of the M state with atomic structure in perfect agreement with the time-resolved data (the R.M.S.D. of the refined coordinates of the M-substructures in the cryotrapped and time-resolved data is 0.2 Å) albeit higher intermediate state occupancy and resolution (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 4, 8).

Evolution of *BcXeR* structure in the course of the photocycle

Time-resolved crystallography and cryotrapping of the intermediate states allowed us to follow with high precision the structural rearrangement of *BcXeR* in the course of inward proton pumping. Since the mechanism of proton pumping by MRs was studied in detail mostly for outward proton pump *HsBR*^{13,14,18}, also serving as a benchmark for studies of many other MRs, we used it as a reference for the analysis of the *BcXeR* structure and mechanism. We conclude that several aspects of the molecular mechanism of proton translocation in *BcXeR* differs principally from that of *HsBR*.

However, it is also based on proton wires, similar to *HsBR*¹⁹. We found essential structural rearrangements in the L state of *BcXeR* resembling those shown for the L state of *HsBR*. First, similar to *HsBR*, in *BcXeR* retinal adopts 13-*cis* configuration in the L state and the protonated RSB is relocated to the cytoplasmic side (Fig. 2B, Fig. 3A). The π -bulge of the helix G near S206 is enlarged (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 6E). As clearly seen from the difference electron density maps, the side chain of L81 is distinctly flipped and three water molecules (Wat506, Wat507, and Wat508) emerge between the RSB and S211 (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 4E). They mediate the linear H-bond chain between the RSB and S211 propagating further to the PTG at the cytoplasmic side (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 4E). Thus, in the L state, the RSB of *BcXeR* is stabilized by the linear water-mediated H-bond chain. Notably, the same structural rearrangements and transiently-formed linear H-bond chain were found in the L state of *HsBR*^{18–20} (Extended Data Fig. 9A). A direct comparison of the L state structures of *HsBR* and *BcXeR* demonstrates that, similarly for both proteins, the RSB is H-bonded to the carboxylic residues at the cytoplasmic side of the proteins via a water chain consisting of three water molecules. A similar water chain was found in the N state of *HsBR* for the reprotonation of the RSB from D96¹⁴.

At the same time, in contrast to *HsBR*, we found marked rearrangements of the retinal binding pocket of *BcXeR*, such as the 180°-flip of W173 (analog of W182 in *HsBR*) (Fig. 2B; Fig. 3B; Supplementary Video 1). In *BcXeR* this extended conformational change occurs in order to avoid steric conflict with the C20 atom of the isomerized retinal (Fig. 3B). To allow the flip, the L136 side chain is reoriented towards the lipid membrane (Fig. 3B). A water molecule (Wat509) appears near Wat501 to maintain the interaction between helices F and G weakened by the W173 reorientation (Fig. 3B). Wat501 is relocated closer to F210 to provide more space for the reorientation of L81 (Fig. 3B). Also unlike in *HsBR*, where extended changes in the extracellular part are observed^{16,19}, the corresponding region of *BcXeR* is preserved in the L state. The PTG also remains unmodified at this stage (Fig. 3A).

In the M state, both time-resolved and cryotrapping data undoubtedly display the retinal in the 13-*cis* conformation, similar to that in *HsBR* (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 4B). The water-mediated H-bond chain connecting the RSB to S211 in the L state disappears in the M state, and the L81 side chain returns back to the ground position (Fig. 3A). Thus, the hydrophobic gate between the RSB and the rest of the cytoplasmic

part is restored, somewhat similar to that found in *HsBR*^{18,19}. The restoration of the gate in *BcXeR* is essential for preventing proton backflow to the RSB from the cytoplasmic side of the protein.

At the same time, there are unique structural features specific to the M state of *BcXeR* but not to *HsBR*. First of all, in *BcXeR* the RSB is oriented towards helix G and is stabilized by an H-bond to the S206 side chain, while in *HsBR* the RSB orients toward helix C and is H-bonded to T89 from a DTD signature motif of archaeal outward proton pumps^{18,19} (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 9B). The H-bond of the RSB to S206 indicates the deprotonated form of the base in the M state, in line with the strong blue-shift of the absorption spectrum of *BcXeR* at this stage. The helix G is slightly shifted towards the lipid membrane to allow for more space for the 13-*cis* retinal while helix C remains unmodified (Extended Data Fig. 9B). The maximal displacement occurs in the region of P203 (analog of D212 in *HsBR*), which is a unique characteristic residue in XERs.

Proton translocation events in *BcXeR*

The structural data strongly suggests that the PTG becomes protonated in the M state. Indeed, the analysis of the PTG structure in different states of *BcXeR* showed that there are two principally different conformations of the group (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 4C,D, 10; Supplementary Note 3). The first is characterized by a sodium ion bound in the PTG coordinated by D214, E34, T88, and carbonyl oxygen of A84. The sodium ion was assigned to the electron density by the analysis of its coordination. In *BcXeR*, the ion is coordinated by five oxygens with a mean Na-O distance of 2.4 Å, which is expected for this type of ions²¹ (Extended Data Fig. 10). In this sodium-bound conformation, the side chain of E34 is oriented towards D214. This conformation is present in the ground and L states of *BcXeR* at cryo conditions but could not be found at room temperature (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Note 3). Sodium binding in the PTG reflects the deprotonated state of the group. Thus, the sodium-bound conformation unequivocally corresponds to the deprotonated PTG. Therefore, in the ground and in the L states the PTG is deprotonated, which also agrees well with FTIR data⁴.

In the second conformation of the PTG there is no sodium ion and E34 is reoriented towards the cytoplasm (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 10). An additional water molecule was found between the E34 and D214 residues. This water molecule is located within 0.8 Å from the position of the sodium ion in the sodium-bound conformation; however, due to the flip of E34 it is only coordinated with four oxygens with a mean O-O distance of 2.8 Å, characteristic to a normal H-bond²². This sodium-free conformation is found in the M state (Fig. 3A). The protonation state of the PTG in the sodium-free conformation is an intriguing and non-trivial question. We determined the structures of the ground state of *BcXeR* in a wide range of pH values (5.2-8.2, Extended Data Fig. 10; Supplementary Note 3). The sodium-free conformation of PTG remains unmodified suggesting that this conformation reflects both deprotonated and protonated forms, which, however, cannot be structurally distinguished, at least at 2 Å resolution (Supplementary Note 3).

Nevertheless, the sodium dissociation from the PTG upon the L-to-M state transition might be a signature of the proton transfer to the group at this stage of the photocycle (Fig. 3A). Since the RSB is deprotonated upon the L-to-M state transition and there is a continuous H-bond chain connecting the RSB to PTG in the L state, we assume that the proton is relocated from the RSB towards the cytoplasm via this linear H-bond chain (Fig. 3A). Thus, the proton released from the RSB is transferred through the proton wire and is likely stored at the PTG in the M state. The direct proton transfer to the cytoplasm from the RSB with the formation of the M state cannot be excluded but is unlikely, according to our time-resolved spectroscopy experiments (Extended Data Fig. 2H).

Next, the extracellular side of *BcXcR* remains almost identical to that in the ground and L states (Fig. 3D). The H-bond network is only slightly modified in the region between H6 and R70 (Fig. 3D; Extended Data Fig. 7C,D). Namely, while one water molecule Wat402 is found in a double conformation in the ground and L states, indicating its weak interaction with Y49 in only half of the protein molecules, two separate water molecules are well-resolved in the M state (Fig. 3D; Extended Data Fig. 7C-E). This minor rearrangement provides a stronger connection between Y49 and Wat402 in the M state, resulting in an almost continuous H-bond chain from the RSB to the large water-filled concavity exposed to the extracellular bulk (Fig. 3D). We suggest that this H-bond chain serves as a pathway, a proton wire, for the RSB reprotonation. It should be noted that although the retinal is in a 13-*cis* conformation in the M state, the RSB is located already very close to its position in the ground state and is within an H-bond distance from Wat401 (Fig. 3D). Thus, the RSB is accessible for reprotonation from the extracellular bulk. However, spectroscopic data shows that the reprotonation of the RSB proceeds slowly in *BcXcR* and in a pH-independent manner (Fig. 1B; Extended Data Fig. 2H). Therefore, we suggest that the proton translocation from the extracellular side to the RSB requires the formation of a continuous proton wire, which, in turn, is the time-limiting step of the inward proton transport process.

Gating of inward proton translocation in *BcXcR*

Notably, in the M state of *BcXcR* the W173 side chain is largely flipped to avoid steric conflict with the 13-*cis* retinal and is oriented nearly perpendicular to its initial position (Fig. 2B; Fig. 3C; Supplementary Movie 1). L169 is flipped towards helix G to allow the rearrangement of W173. The conformation of W173 in the M state of *BcXcR* is stabilized by its water-mediated H-bonding to D107 (Fig. 3C). In contrast, W182 in *HsBR* (analog of W173 of *BcXcR*) preserves its orientation throughout the photocycle^{14,18,19} (Extended Data Fig. 9C). We suggest that, in *BcXcR*, W173 can be rotated since there is sufficient place near the T143 residue for this large-scale movement. The reorientation of W173 is also provided by the flip of L169. In *HsBR*, T143 is substituted by a larger residue L152, which would cause a steric conflict with W182 in the rotated orientation. Moreover, there is a T178 residue at the position of L169 stabilized by an H-bond to a water molecule¹⁹. Thus, we may speculate that in *HsBR* the flip of W182 is blocked by the presence of the L152 and T178 residues.

318 However, further investigations are needed to probe this hypothesis. The pedal-like pushing of the retinal on
319 the W182 in *HsBR* is claimed to trigger a 9 Å tilting of the cytoplasmic parts of E and F helices resulting in
320 the opening of the protein for proton uptake through its cytoplasmic part to the RSB¹⁴ (Extended Data Fig.
321 9C). In contrast, an unusual flexibility of the retinal binding pocket of *BcXeR* and particularly that of W173
322 allows mitigation of the large-scale structural rearrangements caused by retinal isomerization resulting in
323 almost no movements of the transmembrane helices (Extended Data Fig. 9C). This helps to maintain the
324 hydrophobic gate at the cytoplasmic part of *BcXeR* in the closed state after proton translocation from the RSB
325 to the PTG, preventing the backflow of the protons during the M-to-ground state transition. Thus, we suggest
326 that the notable rearrangements of W173 and its surroundings are one of the key determinants of the
327 unidirectional proton transport in bacterial XeRs. Indeed, D107, stabilizing the conformation of W173 in the
328 M state, is shown to be essential for proton pumping in *BcXeR* (Extended Data Fig. 5; Supplementary Note
329 2). Moreover, D107 is conserved within bacterial XeRs, but is substituted by glutamine in ASR-like sensors
330 which lack proton-pumping activity²³. Importantly, this is a noteworthy difference between the internal
331 organization of bacterial XeRs and ASR-like sensors (Extended Data Fig. 5).

332
333 Finally, our time-resolved crystallography data show that the M-to-ground transition proceeds directly without
334 structurally distinguishable intermediate states. This suggests that the following events occur simultaneously:
335 the retinal returns to the all-*trans* configuration; the RSB is reprotonated from the extracellular side; the W173
336 and its surroundings are relocated back to the ground conformations; and the PTG releases the proton to the
337 cytoplasm and re-binds the sodium ion. In contrast to *HsBR*, no large-scale structural rearrangements
338 accompany these events, which is also supported by the absence of the late N- and O-like states in XeRs
339 (Extended Data Fig. 2).

340

Conclusion

In this work we used bacterial xenorhodopsin *BcXeR* to study the molecular mechanism of light-driven inward proton translocation (Fig. 4; Supplementary Note 5; Supplementary Video 2). We utilized synchrotron radiation to capture high-resolution snapshots of the early sub-ms and the subsequent ms-time-scale reactions in *BcXeR* using time-resolved serial crystallography. Thus, this work extends the time range of X-ray synchrotron time-resolved crystallography on microbial rhodopsins to hundreds of microseconds, which is by an order of magnitude shorter than reported earlier¹⁴. Our work is a basis for further time-resolved studies of light-sensitive proteins at synchrotrons and X-ray Free-Electron Lasers. Such further structural information on *BcXeR* in the ps-ns time range would be beneficial for the deeper understanding of its primary photoreactions.

Together with the recent data on the outward proton pump *HsBR*¹⁹, the present work demonstrates that proton wires are at the core of both outwardly and inwardly directed proton transfer through the membrane serving as pathways within the proteins. In *BcXeR*, only a minor reorganization of the proton wires accompanied by subsequential opening of two internal gates in the course of the protein function is sufficient for inwardly directed proton translocation. Our results suggest that mutations at the extracellular gate of *BcXeR* could accelerate the protein photocycle, as is required for the engineering of a potent IPP-based optogenetic tools⁶. Mutational, bioinformatical, and structural analysis of XeRs suggests that the proton wire mechanism is fundamental and characteristic not only for bacterial, but also for archaeal rhodopsins (Supplementary Note 6). We also speculate that proton wires could be universal for other proton transporters. At the same time, we demonstrate that *BcXeR* differs from outward proton pumps and has a specific cavity-gate-cavity-gate-water pore structure resembling that of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)²⁴. However, in contrast to channelrhodopsins, where all gates are opened simultaneously, in XeRs the gates open sequentially, resulting in unidirectional proton translocation.

366 **Acknowledgements**

367 We acknowledge the Structural Biology Group of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) and
368 the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) unit in Hamburg at Deutsche Elektronen-Synchrotron
369 (DESY) for granting access to the synchrotron beamlines. Structural and spectroscopic data obtained at the
370 ESRF have been recorded and analyzed between 2020 and 2021. This work was supported by the common
371 program of Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), France and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft,
372 Germany (ANR-15-CE11-0029-02), and by funding from Frankfurt: Cluster of Excellence Frankfurt
373 Macromolecular Complexes (to E.B.) by the Max Planck Society (to E.B.) and by the Commissariat à
374 l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives (Institut de Biologie Structurale)–Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft
375 Deutscher Forschungszentren (Forschungszentrum Jülich) Special Terms and Conditions 5.1 specific
376 agreement. V.G. greatly acknowledge his HGF professorship. This work used the *icOS* and HTX platforms
377 of the Grenoble Instruct-ERIC center (ISBG; UAR 3518 CNRS-CEA-UJF-EMBL) within the Grenoble
378 Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB). Platform access was supported by FRISBI (ANR-10-INBS-0005-
379 02) and GRAL, a project of the University Grenoble Alpes graduate school (Ecoles Universitaires de
380 Recherche) CBH-EUR-GS (ANR-17-EURE-0003). Protein expression and spectroscopic analysis were
381 supported by the Russian Science Foundation (RSF) (project 21-64-00018). This work was supported by the
382 project ANR-19-CE11-0026. K.K. has been supported by EMBL Interdisciplinary Postdoctoral Fellowship
383 (EIPOD4) under Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions Cofund (grant agreement number 847543). The work of
384 A.A. was supported by funding of the German Research Foundation to Dr. Tobias Moser via the Multiscale
385 Bioimaging - Cluster of Excellence (EXC 2067/1-390729940). Time-resolved crystallography data treatment
386 was supported by RFBR (project 19-29-12022). A.K. acknowledges the support from the Ministry of Science
387 and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement 075-03-2023-106, project FSMG-2021-0002).
388 A.Rog. acknowledges the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (project no.
389 075-01645-22-06/720000F.99.1.B385AV67000). X-ray data collection was supported by the Russian
390 Ministry of Science and Higher Education (grant no. 075-15-2021-1354). The research of electrogenic
391 behavior of *BcXeR* in proteoliposomes was carried out at the expense of the grant of the Russian Science
392 Foundation No. 22-14-00104 (to S.S.).

393

394 **Author Contributions Statement:**

395 K.K., A.A., and F.T. contributed equally and either has the right to list himself first in bibliographic
396 documents. A.A. found a gene bioinformatically, proposed it as a target and performed initial functional tests
397 in *E. coli*; F.T. did cloning, expression, and purification of the protein and its mutants; F.T. did functional
398 studies of the proteins in *E.coli* cell suspension; A.A. supervised the expression and purification; S.S.
399 performed studies of electrogenic behavior of *BcXeR* in proteoliposomes; D.S. and F.T. performed flash-
400 photolysis studies on protein in solution and in crystals; I.C. supervised flash-photolysis experiments; K.K.
401 crystallized the protein; R.A. helped with the crystallization; S.B., R.A., and A.Roy. performed cryotrapping
402 of the intermediates at the *icOS* Lab and recorded the spectra of the states in crystals; K.K. performed

403 cryotrapping of the intermediates in crystals at the P14 beamline; M.A. installed the laser setup at the P14
404 beamline for the cryotrapping and TR studies; K.K. collected and processed the diffraction data, solved and
405 refined the structures; G.B. helped with data collection, structure refinement, and analysis; M.N. provided
406 online monitoring of X-ray diffraction images during TR crystallography data collection at 1 kHz; D.v.S.
407 provided scripts for serial data processing and help with serial data analysis; V.S., N.I., E.B., T.R.S., A.Rog.,
408 F.R.-V., V.B., and G.B. helped with data analysis; A.K. animated the transitions between the intermediates
409 under the supervision of I.G.; A.A. performed bioinformatic analysis with the help of R.R.; F.T., A.A., K.K.,
410 and V.G. analyzed the results and prepared the manuscript with the important contribution of G.B. and with
411 input from all the other authors. V.G. supervised and analyzed the results of experiments and proposed the
412 conception of molecular mechanism of inward proton transport.

413
414 **Competing Interest Statement:**

415 Authors declare no competing interests.

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics on *BcXeR* at 100 K.

	Ground state, pH 8.2	L state, pH 8.2	M state, pH 8.2	Ground state, pH 7.0	M state, pH 7.0	Ground state, pH 5.2	M state, pH 5.2	Ground state, pH 7.6, no Na	M state, pH 7.6, no Na
PDB ID	7ZMY	7ZN3	7ZN0	7ZN8	7ZN9	7ZNA	7ZNB	7ZNC	7ZND
Data collection									
Space group	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21
Cell dimensions									
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	68.24, 109.57, 119.47	68.39, 109.49, 119.39	68.50, 109.50, 119.00	68.60, 109.60, 119.30	68.90, 109.50, 119.40	68.57, 109.59, 119.62	68.50, 109.50, 119.00	68.45, 109.63, 118.46	69.09, 109.36, 119.31
α , β , γ (°)	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90
Resolution (Å)	49.799- 1.700 (1.742- 1.700)*	49.763- 1.600 (1.739- 1.600)*	49.738- 1.700 (1.813- 1.700)*	49.798- 2.200 (2.293- 2.200)*	49.767- 2.300 (2.370- 2.300)*	49.817- 1.800 (1.916- 1.800)*	49.738- 1.900 (1.963- 1.900)*	44.790- 1.700 (1.780- 1.700)*	59.792- 1.985 (2.168- 1.985)*
<i>R</i> _{merge} , %	7.9 (335.3)	7.6 (283.5)	8.3 (346.9)	16.3 (377.5)	17.7 (246.4)	10.4 (337.6)	7.8 (194.8)	9.5 (280.8)	11.4 (163.6)
<i>I</i> / σI	15.9 (0.9)	15.9 (1.1)	16.5 (0.8)	10.9 (0.8)	9.6 (1.3)	14.1 (0.8)	17.5 (1.5)	15.0 (0.9)	13.7 (1.3)
Completeness (%)	92.0 (65.4)	92.3 (75.8)	93.9 (82.4)	85.5 (66.6)	96.3 (78.3)	94.5 (88.6)	95.3 (79.6)	96.2 (86.3)	90.2 (77.0)
Redundancy	13.8 (14.1)	13.7 (13.0)	13.0 (13.1)	13.1 (12.0)	13.2 (12.8)	13.1 (13.1)	13.1 (12.2)	13.3 (12.5)	12.0 (7.2)
Refinement									
Resolution (Å)	20-1.7	20-1.6	20-1.7	20-2.2	20-2.3	20-1.8	20-1.9	20-1.7	20-1.98
No. reflections	86,518	78,514	65,890	35,350	36,269	62,007	59,830	78,775	40,266
<i>R</i> _{work} / <i>R</i> _{free}	17.4/20.3	20.4/21.6	19.9/22.7	19.9/23.8	21.6/25.3	19.6/23.0	19.6/22.7	18.5/20.8	25.0/28.2
Occupancy of the intermediate, %	-	35	100	-	100	-	100	-	100
No. atoms									
Protein	5301	5490	5351	5301	5342	5304	5342	5362	5236
Retinal	60	120	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Sodium	3	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-
Lipid	737	599	627	732	626	720	627	807	802
Water	283	246	241	281	243	289	240	375	75
<i>B</i> -factors									
Protein	33	30	34	36	38	31	34	27	32
Retinal	27	28	29	27	32	25	29	20	26
Sodium	26	25	-	36	-	25	-	-	-
Lipid	60	47	58	58	60	58	58	54	60
Water	46	39	44	48	45	45	44	42	33
R.m.s. deviations									
Bond lengths (Å)	0.006	0.014	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.002
Bond angles (°)	1.223	1.649	1.019	1.091	1.019	1.131	1.022	1.078	0.994

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

Table 2. Serial crystallography data collection and refinement statistics on BcXcR at room temperature.

	Dark state, pH 8.2 7.5 ms exposure	7.5-15.0 ms snapshot, pH 8.2	Dark state, pH 8.2 500 μ s exposure	250-750 μ s snapshot, pH 8.2
PDB ID	7ZNE	7ZNI	7ZNG	7ZNH
Data collection				
Space group	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21
Cell dimensions				
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	71.50, 111.50, 119.30	71.50, 111.50, 119.30	71.91, 111.86, 119.52	71.91, 111.86, 119.52
α , β , γ (°)	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90
Indexed patterns	67,912	12,449	17,997	17,526
Indexing rate, %	33.9	10.4	25.0	24.3
Resolution (Å)	45.8-2.1 (2.17-2.10)*	45.8-2.2 (2.28-2.20)*	45.96-2.30 (2.38-2.30)*	45.96-2.30 (2.38-2.30)*
<i>R</i> _{split} , %	6.6 (156.74)	14.3 (198.8)	19.2 (260.1)	19.6 (292.3)
<i>I</i> / σ <i>I</i>	9.0 (0.6)	4.5 (0.5)	4.0 (0.4)	3.9 (0.4)
Completeness (%)	100.0 (100.0)	100.0 (100.0)	100.0 (100.0)	100.0 (100.0)
Redundancy	926.8 (629.9)	177.3 (121.1)	526.2 (360.9)	507.4 (348.2)
CC*, %	99.9 (72.0)	99.4 (42.2)	99.6 (42.5)	99.6 (41.4)
Refinement				
Resolution (Å)	20-2.1	20-2.2	20-2.3	20-2.3
No. reflections	54,544	47,347	41,079	40,880
<i>R</i> _{work} / <i>R</i> _{free}	18.7/21.5	18.5/21.4	21.8/25.1	22.0/24.8
Occupancy of the intermediate, %	-	62	-	20
No. atoms				
Protein	5189	5507	5194	5383
Retinal	60	120	60	120
Lipid	528	566	540	540
Water	75	95	75	75
<i>B</i> -factors				
Protein	55	56	48	49
Retinal	46	50	38	36
Lipid	63	65	66	67
Water	62	63	55	54
R.m.s. deviations				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.002	0.010	0.002	0.006
Bond angles (°)	1.050	1.428	1.040	1.250

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

427 **Figure 1 | Functional, spectroscopical, and structural features of BcXer.** **A.** Light-induced pH changes in
428 suspension of *E.coli* expressing BcXer in non-buffered salt solutions (salt molarity is 100 mM each). Solid
429 lines represent experiments in the absence of any protonophore, dashed lines show pH changes in the presence
430 of 30 μ M of protonophore carbonyl-cyanide m-chlorophenyl-hydrazone (CCCP). The yellow area indicates
431 the period of time when the light was on. **B.** Photocycle scheme of BcXer. **C.** Time-resolved traces of BcXer
432 in solution and in crystals at 480 nm characteristic for the L state. **D.** Time-resolved traces of BcXer in
433 solution and in crystals at 390 nm characteristic for the M state. **E.** Overall side view of the BcXer protomer.
434 **F.** Detailed view of the cytoplasmic side of BcXer in the ground state. The proton transit group (PTG) is
435 highlighted in yellow. The hydrophobic gate between the RSB and S211 is shown with a red arrow. **G.**
436 Detailed view of the extracellular side of BcXer. The gate near Y49 is shown with a red arrow. Cavities were
437 calculated using HOLLOW²⁵ and are shown with a blue surface. Hydrogen bonds are shown with black dashed
438 lines.

440 **Figure 2 | Obtaining of BcXer structures in the L and M intermediate states.** **A.** A global fit of flash-
441 photolysis data revealed the presence of three spectrally distinct states of BcXer: K, L, and M. Time regions
442 captured in two time-resolved (TR) experiments are highlighted in red and in yellow for the L and M states,
443 respectively. **B.** Examples of $F_o^{\text{light}} - F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps in the region of retinal obtained
444 with TR and cryotrapping methods. The maps are contoured at the level of 3.5σ . The maps of the L state
445 obtained with TR approach are contoured at 3.0σ . In the latter case the maps appear weaker due to a lower
446 resolution and a lower occupancy of the intermediate. **C.** UV-visible absorption spectra measured *in crystallo*
447 at 100 K of the ground (green solid), the L (red solid), and M (yellow solid) states (insets in the right panel:
448 photos of the same frozen BcXer crystal in the cryoloop before and after the laser illumination, near the
449 corresponding spectra). Spectra of the corresponding states of the BcXer photocycle in solution are shown
450 with dashed lines. **D.** Evolution of the occupancy of the M state determined from the TR crystallography
451 experiments (red bars). Red bars indicate the experimental error of the M state occupancy determination
452 ($\pm 2\%$). The evolution is in line with the difference absorption evolution at 390 nm (yellow line) corresponding
453 to the M state population determined by TR spectroscopy of the BcXer crystals.

455 **Figure 3 | Structural rearrangements in BcXer in the L and M states.** **A.** Structural rearrangements at the
456 cytoplasmic part of BcXer in the course of the photocycle. Blue arrows indicate the key conformational
457 changes. The wide light blue arrow indicates the putative pathway for proton translocation. Three water
458 molecules appearing in the L state and forming a transient H-bond chain from the RSB to S211 are highlighted
459 in yellow. The proton at the PTG in the M state is highlighted in light blue. The key hydrogen atoms are shown
460 as white spheres. **B.** Structural rearrangements in the retinal and retinal binding pocket upon the ground-to-L
461 transition. Black arrows indicate the most notable conformational changes between the ground (green) and
462 the L (salmon) states. **C.** Structural rearrangements in the retinal and retinal binding pocket upon the L-to-M
463 transition. Black arrows indicate the most notable conformational changes between the L (salmon) and the M
464 (yellow) states. H-bonds connecting D107 and W173 via water molecule Wat501' are shown with yellow
465 dashed lines. **D.** Extracellular side of BcXer in the ground (green), L (salmon), and M (yellow) states. The
466 continuous H-bond connecting the RSB to the concavity (highlighted with light blue surface) exposed to the
467 extracellular bulk is shown with yellow dashed lines. The blue arrow indicates the additional water molecule
468 Wat403 found only in the M state. The wide light blue arrow indicates the putative pathway for RSB
469 reprotonation.

471 **Figure 4 | Proposed mechanism of inward proton transport by BcXer.** The putative scheme of the key
472 structural rearrangements during the BcXer photocycle is shown. Inactive regions of the proton wire
473 propagating through the inside of the protein are shown with yellow thick dashed lines. Active regions of the
474 wire are shown with green thick dashed lines. Positively and negatively charged groups are colored blue and
475 red, respectively. The protein surface is shown in light gray. Water molecules involved in the proton wire are
476 shown as red spheres. Sodium ions are shown as purple spheres. The retinal cofactor is colored cyan. Central
477 hydrophobic and weak extracellular gates are marked and indicated with arrows. The states of the gates are
478 indicated with the color of the arrow and a corresponding description (red - closed; green - opened; yellow -
479 intermediate). Blue arrows indicate putative proton translocation pathways. The lipid membrane is shown in
480 blue.

481 **References**

- 482 1. Gushchin, I. & Gordeliy, V. Microbial Rhodopsins. in *Membrane Protein Complexes: Structure and*
483 *Function* (eds. Harris, J. R. & Boekema, E. J.) 19–56 (Springer, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-981-10-7757-
484 9_2.
- 485 2. Oesterhelt, D. & Stoeckenius, W. Rhodopsin-like Protein from the Purple Membrane of Halobacterium
486 halobium. *Nature. New Biol.* **233**, 149–152 (1971).
- 487 3. Sahel, J.-A. *et al.* Partial recovery of visual function in a blind patient after optogenetic therapy. *Nat.*
488 *Med.* **27**, 1223–1229 (2021).
- 489 4. Inoue, K. *et al.* A natural light-driven inward proton pump. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13415 (2016).
- 490 5. Inoue, S. *et al.* Spectroscopic characteristics of Rubricoccus marinus xenorhodopsin (RmXeR) and a
491 putative model for its inward H⁺ transport mechanism. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **20**, 3172–3183 (2018).
- 492 6. Shevchenko, V. *et al.* Inward H⁺ pump xenorhodopsin: Mechanism and alternative optogenetic
493 approach. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1603187 (2017).
- 494 7. Weissbecker, J. *et al.* The Voltage Dependent Sidedness of the Reprotonation of the Retinal Schiff Base
495 Determines the Unique Inward Pumping of Xenorhodopsin. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **60**, 23010–23017
496 (2021).
- 497 8. Alcaraz, L. D. *et al.* The genome of Bacillus coahuilensis reveals adaptations essential for survival in the
498 relic of an ancient marine environment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **105**, 5803–5808 (2008).
- 499 9. Chizhov, I. *et al.* Spectrally silent transitions in the bacteriorhodopsin photocycle. *Biophys. J.* **71**, 2329–
500 2345 (1996).
- 501 10. Landau, E. M. & Rosenbusch, J. P. Lipidic cubic phases: A novel concept for the crystallization
502 of membrane proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**, 14532–14535 (1996).
- 503 11. Luecke, H., Schobert, B., Richter, H.-T., Cartailler, J.-P. & Lanyi, J. K. Structure of bacteriorhodopsin at
504 1.55 Å resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* **291**, 899–911 (1999).
- 505 12. Hasegawa, N., Jonotsuka, H., Miki, K. & Takeda, K. X-ray structure analysis of bacteriorhodopsin at
506 1.3 Å resolution. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 13123 (2018).
- 507 13. Gerwert, K., Freier, E. & Wolf, S. The role of protein-bound water molecules in microbial rhodopsins.
508 *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Bioenerg.* **1837**, 606–613 (2014).

- 509 14. Weinert, T. *et al.* Proton uptake mechanism in bacteriorhodopsin captured by serial synchrotron
510 crystallography. *Science* **365**, 61–65 (2019).
- 511 15. Mous, S. *et al.* Dynamics and mechanism of a light-driven chloride pump. *Science* **375**, 845–851 (2022).
- 512 16. Wickstrand, C., Dods, R., Royant, A. & Neutze, R. Bacteriorhodopsin: Would the real structural
513 intermediates please stand up? *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Gen. Subj.* **1850**, 536–553 (2015).
- 514 17. Kouyama, T., Kawaguchi, H., Nakanishi, T., Kubo, H. & Murakami, M. Crystal Structures of the L1,
515 L2, N, and O States of pharaonis Halorhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **108**, 2680–2690 (2015).
- 516 18. Nango, E. *et al.* A three-dimensional movie of structural changes in bacteriorhodopsin. *Science* **354**,
517 1552–1557 (2016).
- 518 19. V. Borshchevskiy *et al.* True-atomic-resolution insights into the structure and functional role of linear
519 chains and low-barrier hydrogen bonds in proteins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* (2022) doi:10.1038/s41594-
520 022-00762-2.
- 521 20. Freier, E., Wolf, S. & Gerwert, K. Proton transfer via a transient linear water-molecule chain in a
522 membrane protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 11435–11439 (2011).
- 523 21. Kuppuraj, G., Dudev, M. & Lim, C. Factors Governing Metal–Ligand Distances and Coordination
524 Geometries of Metal Complexes. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **113**, 2952–2960 (2009).
- 525 22. Szalewicz, K. Hydrogen Bond. in *Encyclopedia of Physical Science and Technology (Third Edition)* (ed.
526 Meyers, R. A.) 505–538 (Academic Press, 2003). doi:10.1016/B0-12-227410-5/00322-7.
- 527 23. Jung, K.-H., Trivedi, V. D. & Spudich, J. L. Demonstration of a sensory rhodopsin in eubacteria. *Mol.*
528 *Microbiol.* **47**, 1513–1522 (2003).
- 529 24. Volkov, O. *et al.* Structural insights into ion conduction by channelrhodopsin 2. *Science* **358**, eaan8862
530 (2017).
- 531 25. Ho, B. K. & Gruswitz, F. HOLLOW: Generating Accurate Representations of Channel and Interior
532 Surfaces in Molecular Structures. *BMC Struct. Biol.* **8**, 49 (2008).

Methods

Phylogenetic analysis of rhodopsin genes

To retrieve xenorhodopsins from available sequence data, a two-step procedure based on sequence similarity search and Hidden Markov Models was followed. First, bona fide XeR amino acid sequences were queried against the non-redundant database at NCBI using Diamond v0.9.4.105²⁶ and applying the substitution matrix BLOSUM45 to retrieve distantly related proteins with the E-value set to 1e-5. Next, all genomes whose proteins gave hits with bona fide XeR were downloaded and all encoding rhodopsins (either XeR-related or other types) were identified using HMMER version v3.3.2 (<http://hmmer.org>) and further confirmed by checking for the presence of 7 transmembrane domains using TMHMM²⁷.

The obtained set of putative xenorhodopsins was aligned with ClustalO. The phylogenetic tree was constructed in iTol v.6²⁸. Structurally important regions were identified manually according to the obtained high-resolution structure of BcXeR, taking into account structure-functional information on NsXeR, ASR, and other published xenorhodopsins. The data and figures, showing conserved amino acids in the structurally important regions, were calculated and designed in an in-house Python Jupyter notebook and Wolfram Mathematica.

Cloning

BcXeR coding DNA was optimized for *E.coli* codons using GeneArt (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Genes were synthesized commercially (Eurofins). For protein expression and measurements of pump activity pET15b plasmid with 6xHis-tag at the C-terminal was used. Site-directed mutagenesis with PCR, phosphorylation and ligation was performed.

Protein expression, solubilization, and purification

E.coli cells were transformed with pET15b plasmid containing the gene of interest. Transformed cells were grown at 37°C in shaking baffled flasks in an autoinducing medium ZYP-5052²⁹, containing 10 mg/L ampicillin. They were induced at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-0.7 with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Subsequently, 10 μM all-*trans*-retinal was added. Incubation continued for 3 hours. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 5000g for 20 min. Collected cells were disrupted in an M-110P Lab Homogenizer (Microfluidics) at 25,000 p.s.i. in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 50 mg/L DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich). The membrane fraction of the cell lysate was isolated by ultracentrifugation at 125,000g for 1 h at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl and 1% DDM (Anatrace, Affymetrix) and stirred overnight for solubilization. The insoluble fraction was removed by ultracentrifugation at 125,000g for 1h at 4 °C. The supernatant was loaded on an Ni-NTA column (Qiagen), and protein was eluted in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.4 M imidazole and 0.05% DDM. The eluate was subjected to size-exclusion chromatography on a 125-ml Superdex 200 PG column (GE Healthcare Life

Sciences) in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl and 0.05% DDM. In the end protein was concentrated to 70 mg/ml for crystallization and –80°C storage.

Measurements of pump activity in the *E. coli* cells suspension

The proteins (*BcXcR*, *AaSR*, *PpaSR*) were expressed as described above. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 4000g for 15 min and were washed three times with an unbuffered salt solution, with 30-min intervals between the washes to allow for exchange of the ions inside the cells with the bulk. After that, the cells were resuspended in an unbuffered salt solution and adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 8.5. The measurements were performed in 3-ml aliquots of stirred cell suspension kept at ice temperature (0.3-1.0°C). The cells were illuminated using a halogen lamp (Olympus KL2500 LCD), and the light-induced pH changes were monitored with a pH meter (SevenEasy, METTLER TOLEDO). The measurements were repeated under the same conditions after the addition of 30 μM CCCP protonophore.

Spectroscopic characterization and time-resolved absorption spectroscopy

The absorption spectra in pH range were obtained with Biotek Synergy HT. The setup for time-resolved absorption spectroscopy was as follows. A brilliant B Nd:YAG laser (Quantel, France) was used for providing pulses of 4 ns duration at a 532 nm wavelength and an energy of about 2 mJ/pulse. Samples were placed between two collimated mechanically coupled monochromators (LSH-150, LOT, Germany). The probing light (Xe-arc lamp, 75 W, Hamamatsu, Japan) passed the first monochromator and the sample and arrived after a second monochromator at a PMT detector (R12829, Hamamatsu, Japan). Two digital oscilloscopes (Keysight DSO-X 4022A) were used to record the traces of transient transmission changes in two overlapping time windows.

In this setup we recorded transient absorption changes starting from 700 ns after the laser pulse until the completion of the photocycle, at each wavelength from 330 to 730 nm in 10-nm steps, 25 laser pulses were averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. We analyzed the data sets using the global multiexponential nonlinear least-squares fitting program MEXFIT as reported earlier.

Electrometric Time-Resolved Measurements of the Membrane Potential

The generation of the transmembrane electric potential difference $\Delta\Psi$ was observed using a direct electrometric setup with a time resolution of 100 ns as described earlier.^{30,31} Proteoliposomes with protein were fused with the surface of a collodion phospholipid-impregnated film (a measuring membrane) separating two sections of the measuring cell filled with a buffer solution. The potential difference $\Delta\Psi$ across the measuring membrane were detected by Ag⁺/AgCl electrodes immersed in the solution at both sides of membrane. This membrane should be thin enough and possess large electric capacitance (about 5 nF) for detecting fast charge translocation events. Typical resistance of the measuring membrane was 2 to 3 GOhm. A Nd-YAG laser (YG-481, λ = 532 nm, pulse half-width 12 ns, pulse energy up to 40 mJ; Quantel) was used

as a light source of the light pulses. In the process of the light-driven proton transfer, rhodopsin creates $\Delta\Psi$ across the vesicle membrane, which is proportionately divided by the measuring membrane.

Proteoliposomes preparation

Protein containing proteoliposomes were prepared as described previously³². Soybean asolectin was dissolved (1% w/v in final solution) in chloroform, which was then removed using a rotary evaporator (25°C) and a vacuum pump. Asolectin film was resuspended in 0.1 M NaCl, 2% (w/v) sodium cholate to the final asolectin concentration of 1% (w/v). Suspension was sonicated for 5 min at 4°C and centrifuged. Supernatant was sonicated for 1 min and then solubilized protein was quickly added to the final concentration 0.7 mg/ml which was followed by adding detergent-absorbing beads (Amberlite XAD-2, Sigma-Aldrich). The beads were exchanged with new ones several times (one of them was kept overnight and the others were close to an hour each).

Crystallization

The crystals of *BcXeR* were grown with an *in meso* approach¹⁰, similar to that used in our previous works^{33,34}. In particular, the solubilized protein (60 mg/ml) in the crystallization buffer was mixed with premelted at 42°C monoolein (MO, Nu-Chek Prep) in a 3:2 ratio (lipid:protein) to form a lipidic mesophase. The mesophase was homogenized in coupled syringes (Hamilton) by transferring the mesophase from one syringe to another until a homogeneous and gel-like material was formed.

For the growing of single crystals of *BcXeR* used for determination of the cryo structures, 150 nl drops of a protein-mesophase mixture were spotted on a 96-well LCP glass sandwich plate (Marienfeld) and overlaid with 400 nL of precipitant solution by means of the NT8 crystallization robot (Formulatrix). The best crystals were obtained with a protein concentration of 20 mg/ml (in the water part of the mesophase). The crystals for the determination of the *BcXeR* structures in the ground and M states at pH 5.2, 7.0, and 8.2 and in the L state at pH 8.2 were obtained using 0.8 M Na/K-Pi pH 8.2 as a precipitant. The crystals for the determination of the *BcXeR* structures in the ground and M state at pH 7.6 in the absence of sodium were obtained using 1.2 M (NH₄)₃PO₄ pH 7.6 as a precipitant. The crystals were grown at 22°C and appeared in 2 months. Once the crystals reached their final size, crystallization wells were opened, and drops containing the protein-mesophase mixture were covered with 100 µl of the respective precipitant solution. For the data collection, harvested crystals were incubated for 5 min in the respective precipitant solutions. For obtaining the structures of *BcXeR* at pH 5.2 the crystallization well was covered with a solution of 1.2 M Na/K-Pi pH 5.2. The crystals were soaked for 24 hours with exchanging the buffer three times. Crystals were then harvested using micromounts (Mitegen, USA), flash-cooled and stored in liquid nitrogen.

For time-resolved serial crystallography, crystals of *BcXeR* were grown in 200-µl PCR tubes. For that, 0.8-1.6 µl of precipitant solution (3.2 M Na/K-Pi pH 8.2) were dispensed at the bottom of the PCR tube and covered with 12 µl of the mesophase from the Hamilton syringe. The PCR tube was quickly closed and centrifuged for 1 min at 6000g at 22°C to sediment the phase to the bottom of the PCR tube. The PCR tubes

were kept at 22°C. The crystals of *BcXeR* appeared within 1 week and reached 30x20x5 μm^3 in size. The crystals from several PCR tubes were collected into a single PCR tube using centrifugation for 2 min at 20,800g and 22°C. Then, the bottom part of the PCR tube with the mesophase was cut and inserted upside down into the sealed 200 μl pipette tip. The tip was sealed at the very end using the gas burner. The tip with the inserted PCR tube part was then centrifuged at 6000g and 22°C for 2 minutes using a 1.5 ml tube as an adaptor for the centrifuge rotor. After the centrifugation, the mesophase was found in the sealed tip. The empty PCR tube part was removed and the tip was covered from the wide end with Parafilm to avoid dehydration of the crystals. The plunger was removed from an empty clean 100 μl Hamilton syringe and the syringe was sealed from the side of the needle using Parafilm. The tip with mesophase was cut from the narrow end and inserted into the back side of the prepared Hamilton syringe. The syringe with the inserted tip were fixed in the 50 ml falcon tube; for that, the syringe was wrapped into the paper towel to fit tightly into the falcon tube. Then, the tube with the syringe were centrifuged at 6000g and 22°C for 3 minutes to transfer the mesophase from the tip into the syringe. After the centrifugation, the tip was removed, the syringe was coupled to another clean 100 μl Hamilton syringe and the plunger was slowly inserted into the first syringe. Thus, the phase was slowly transferred into the second syringe. In the case of excessive precipitant solution and/or air, the system was uncoupled for their removal and then coupled back to homogenize the mesophase with crystals. The mesophase was homogenized before the experiment using an LCP-coupler.

662

663 **Accumulation of the intermediate state in *BcXeR* crystals**

664

Absorption spectra of *BcXeR* in solution were collected using a UV-2401PC spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The spectroscopic characterization of the L and M states in *BcXeR* crystals was performed at the *icOS* Lab located at the ESRF³⁵. Briefly, UV-visible absorption spectra were measured using as a reference light that of a DH-2000-BAL deuterium-halogen lamp (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL) connected to the incoming objective via a 200 μm diameter fiber, resulting in a 50 μm focal spot on the sample, and a QE65 Pro spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL) connected to the outgoing objective via a 400 μm diameter fiber. The actinic light comes from 532-nm or 633-nm lasers (CNI Laser, Changchun, P.R. China) coupled to a 1000 μm diameter fiber which is connected to the third objective whose optical axis is perpendicular to those of the ingoing and outgoing objectives. All spectra (100 ms acquisition time averaged 20 times) were collected on crystals flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen and kept under a cold nitrogen stream at 100 K.

For the accumulation and cryotrapping of the L state, a crystal was warmed to 170 K and put under constant 633-nm-laser illumination for 6 min at 170 K. During the illumination the crystal was rotated 3 times by 90 degrees to illuminate it from all sides (1.5 minutes for each side). Then the laser was switched off and the crystal was cooled back to 100 K. For the accumulation of the L state the laser power density was 32 W/cm² at the position of the sample. A UV-visible absorption spectrum was subsequently recorded to show the slightly blue-shifted absorption maximum characteristic of the L state of *BcXeR*. The laser power was adjusted to maximize the fraction of the accumulated L state; the absence of destructive effect of the laser on crystals

682 was justified by the remaining diffraction quality after the cryotrapping procedure as well as by the full return
683 of the protein to the ground state after short test annealing of the crystal to 293 K after cryotrapping of the L
684 state.

685 For the accumulation and cryotrapping of the M state, the crystal was originally kept at 100 K. It was then
686 illuminated by a 532-nm-laser with the nitrogen stream simultaneously blocked for 2 seconds. Due to the short
687 illumination time the crystal was not rotated during the illumination. For better illumination, the crystal was
688 oriented with the largest plane perpendicular to the laser beam. The laser was then switched off once the
689 crystal was back at 100 K. For the accumulation of the M state a laser power density of 10 W/cm² at the
690 position of the sample was used. A UV-visible absorption spectrum was subsequently recorded to show the
691 strongly blue-shifted absorption maximum characteristic of the M state of *BcXeR*. The laser power was
692 adjusted to maximize the fraction of the accumulated M state; the absence of destructive effect of the laser on
693 crystals was justified by the remaining diffraction quality after the cryotrapping procedure as well as by the
694 full return of the protein to the ground state after short test annealing of the crystal to 293 K after cryotrapping
695 of the M state.

696 The mean size of the crystals was 200x100x20 μm^3 . The plate-like crystals were oriented so that the largest
697 plane (200x100 μm^2) was perpendicular to the laser beam. The laser beam was focused to the size of 200x200
698 μm^2 (1/e²).

699 A similar setup for the accumulation and cryotrapping of the intermediate states in the *BcXeR* crystals was
700 established at the P14 beamline of the PETRAIII synchrotron source (Hamburg, Germany) for X-ray
701 diffraction data collection. Also, the same accumulation procedure was applied to crystals at *icOS* and P14
702 beamline. In the case of the M state the trapping of the strongly blue-shifted M intermediate state characteristic
703 for the deprotonated RSB was directly visible by a notable change of the crystal color in the micro loop
704 before/after the cryotrapping procedure.

705

706 **Single-crystal diffraction data collection and treatment**

707 Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of all structures except the one of the ground state of *BcXeR* obtained at
708 pH 7.6 in the absence of sodium were collected at the P14 beamline of PETRAIII (Hamburg, Germany) using
709 an EIGER X 16M and EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detectors. The data of the ground state of *BcXeR* at pH 7.6 in
710 the absence of sodium were collected at the ID23-1 beamline of the ESRF (Grenoble, France) using a
711 PILATUS 6M-F detector. The data collection was performed using MxCube2 software. Diffraction images
712 were processed using XDS³⁶. The reflection intensities were scaled and merged using the Staraniso server³⁷.
713 There is no possibility of twinning for the crystals. In all cases, diffraction data from a single crystal were
714 used. The data statistics are presented in Table 1.

715

716 **Structure determination and refinement of the ground state of *BcXeR***

717 Initial phases for the ground state of trimeric *BcXeR* at pH 8.2 with sodium were successfully obtained in the
718 P2₁2₁2₁ space group by molecular replacement using MOLREP³⁸ from the CCP4 program suite³⁹ using the
719 1XIO structure of *Anabaena* sensory rhodopsin⁴⁰ as a search model. The initial models were iteratively refined
720 using REFMAC5⁴¹ and Coot⁴². The phases for all other structures of *BcXeR* determined in the course of the
721 study were determined using MOLREP with the phases of the ground state of *BcXeR* at pH 8.2 in the presence
722 of sodium.

723

724 **Structure refinement of the cryotrapped L and M intermediates of *BcXeR***

725 For the determination of the L state structure of *BcXeR* two datasets were collected using the same crystal.
726 First, data were collected from a part of the not-yet illuminated crystal. These data correspond to the ground
727 state of *BcXeR* (we refer to the structure factors corresponding to this dataset as F_o^{dark}). Then, the L state was
728 cryotrapped in the same crystal using the above-described protocol. Then, the second dataset was collected
729 from a laser illuminated but not yet X-ray exposed region of the crystal. These data correspond to the
730 intermediate state (we refer to the structure factors corresponding to this dataset as F_o^{light}). Although the $F_o - F_c$
731 and $F_o^{\text{light}} - F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps clearly indicated structural rearrangements associated with
732 the intermediate state formation, the fraction of the L state was not sufficient for direct fitting of the model
733 into $2F_o - F_c$ electron density maps corresponding to the second dataset. Therefore, to build the initial model of
734 the L state we used extrapolated structure factors, calculated from two isomorphous datasets using linear
735 approximation as follows: $F_o^{\text{extrapolated}} = (1/\alpha) * (F_o^{\text{light}} - (1-\alpha) * F_o^{\text{dark}})$, where α is the occupancy of the L state.
736 The α value was screened while calculating the extrapolated maps from 0.1 to 0.5 with a step of 0.05, and was
737 selected to be 0.3 via an analysis of the electron density maps built using extrapolated structure factors. Then
738 the model of the L state was built manually in Coot using $2F_o^{\text{extrapolated}} - F_c$ electron density maps in accordance
739 with the $F_o^{\text{light}} - F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps. The positions of residues 81, 136, 173, 205-210 of the
740 protein molecule and several internal water molecules were refined manually compared to the ground state as
741 identified by the strongest peaks at the $F_o^{\text{light}} - F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps. Then, the new positions
742 of the above-mentioned residues and water molecules were added to the ground state model as a second
743 conformation (named conformation C in the final model of the L state). The combined model was
744 automatically refined against the original data using PHENIX. Only atom positions of the L state were refined;
745 the atom positions of the ground state were fixed. B-factor were also refined for all atoms. Analysis of the
746 resulting model and electron density maps showed consistency of the L state structure with the
747 crystallographic data and the $F_o^{\text{light}} - F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps. The final occupancy of the L state
748 after refinement was 35%.

749 In the case of the M state at pH 8.2 in the presence of sodium, the occupancy of the intermediate was high as
750 also evidenced by the color of the crystals after the cryotrapping procedure (the crystal was almost completely

yellow, corresponding to the M state of *BcXeR*). Therefore, the structure of the M state was directly built using 2F_o-F_c electron density maps. After several iterations of manual refinement in Coot and automated refinement using REFMAC5, some peaks at the F_o-F_c difference electron density maps corresponding to a small amount of the L state appeared near residues W173, L136, and S206 (Extended Data Fig. 7B); therefore, these residues were fitted with double conformation in the final model of the M state, where conformations A and B correspond to the M and L states, respectively. In the case of the M states at other pH values and sodium concentrations the structure of the intermediate was refined iteratively in Coot and REFMAC5 using the model of the M state at pH 8.2 in the presence of sodium as a starting model (Supplementary Table 1).

Serial crystallography data collection and treatment

Serial crystallography data of *BcXeR* was obtained at RT at the P14 beamline of PETRA III (Hamburg, Germany) using an EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector and a 2 (vertical) x 7 (horizontal) μm^2 sized beam at 12.7 keV (2×10^{12} and 2×10^{13} ph/s in the cases of experiments with 500 μs and 7.5 ms X-ray exposures, respectively). The data collection was performed using MxCube2 software. In the case of the time-resolved crystallography experiments with 7.5 ms X-ray exposures the X-ray beam was attenuated to 10% to reduce the X-ray dose. The crystals were delivered into the X-ray beam in a stream of mesophase provided by high-viscosity LCP injector⁴³. The mesophase containing protein crystals was loaded into the 20- μl -reservoir of the LCP injector without additives directly from the Hamilton syringe. The injector was oriented at 20° from vertical. The extrusion of the mesophase containing the *BcXeR* crystals was performed using capillaries with 50 and 100 μm diameters for the collection of the 250-750- μs snapshot and the series of 7.5-ms-long snapshots, respectively. The mesophase stream was stabilized by a helium gas stream. A 50 μm capillary was used in the case of the fast data collection to reduce sample consumption. The linear speed of the injected mesophase was estimated using high-speed camera recordings and was 2.5 and 0.5 mm/s, respectively. The serial crystallography data collection and treatment statistics are given in Table 2. The overall scheme of data collection was similar to that used in earlier time-resolved crystallography studies of *HsBR* at a synchrotron source¹⁴.

Two sets of serial crystallography data of the dark state of *BcXeR* were collected as a reference for the time-resolved studies. First, the data of the dark state were collected using a 500- μs -long X-ray data acquisition per image at a frame rate of 1 kHz using the central 4M region of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. A total number of 72,000 detector images were collected and processed with CrystFEL⁴⁴ (version 0.9.1) without any additional modification. Potential crystal hits were identified with SNR=4, --threshold=7, --highres=2.3 using the peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in CrystFEL. The second dataset of the dark state was collected using 7.5-ms-long X-ray diffraction data acquisition per image at a frame rate of 133 Hz using the 16M region of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. A total number of 199,980 detector images were collected and processed with CrystFEL (version 0.9.1) without any additional modification. Potential crystal hits were

786 identified with SNR=5, --threshold=20, --highres=2.1 using peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in
787 CrystFEL. In both cases, the initial geometry, provided by the beamline data staff, was optimized with
788 detector-shift. Cell unit parameters were iteratively adjusted from the initial parameters taken from single-
789 crystal cryo data. For indexing, indexer XGANDALF⁴⁵ was used with the --multi option enabled. Integration
790 was performed using --int-radius=3,4,5. This yielded datasets with 17,997 and 67,912 indexed images,
791 yielding 21,569 and 80,082 crystals for the datasets with 500- μ s-long and 7.5-ms-long X-ray data acquisition
792 per frame, respectively. Data were merged using partialator with --model=unity, --symmetry=mmm, and
793 number of iterations=1. Finally, 21,533 and 79,892 crystals were used for merging together after partialator
794 rejection.

795 Time-resolved crystallography data on the L state of *BcXcR* (250-750- μ s snapshot) were collected by
796 illuminating the crystals at a 40 Hz repetition rate with a 532 nm pump laser focused to the laser spot directly
797 at the intersection between the crystal stream and the X-ray beam of 60 μ m in diameter ($1/e^2$). Per each laser
798 pulse a series of 25 consecutive snapshots was collected. The length of the laser pulse was 500 μ s. The energy
799 of a single laser pulse was 6.2 μ J with laser power density of 438 W/cm², which is ~11 times higher than the
800 power density used in previous time-resolved studies of microbial rhodopsins at a synchrotron source^{14,15}.
801 Nevertheless, due to the short laser pulse these illumination conditions resulted in an uncorrected value of
802 ~15-65 photons per retinal depending on crystal orientation (protein concentration in crystals was 21 mM and
803 an extinction coefficient value used was 50,000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹); however, this calculation does not account for the
804 laser beam scattering by the jet and light absorption by the mesophase itself. The latter issue is especially valid
805 in the case of *BcXcR*, since the final mesophase in which the crystals were delivered was not fully transparent
806 probably due to the change of the phase type under crystallization conditions. We assume that the above-
807 mentioned factors reduce the real number of photons per retinal molecule. Nevertheless, the experiment was
808 performed in a multiphoton regime. The laser power was set to its maximum value in order to increase the
809 occupancy of the intermediate state. Analysis of the diffraction patterns collected with and without laser
810 illumination showed that the diffraction quality was not affected by the laser illumination. Data were collected
811 at a frame rate of 1 kHz using the central 4M region of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. The start of the X-
812 ray diffraction data acquisition for each series was electronically delayed by 250 μ s relative to the optical laser
813 trigger. The overall time of data collection from a sample with a total volume of 20 μ l was about 40 minutes.

814
815 A total number of 72,000 detector images were collected for the 250-750- μ s snapshot and processed with
816 CrystFEL (version 0.9.1) without any additional modification. Potential crystal hits were identified with
817 SNR=4, --threshold=7, --highres=2.3 using the peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in CrystFEL. For
818 indexing, indexer XGANDALF⁴⁵ was used with the --multi option enabled. Integration was performed using
819 --int-radius=3,4,5. This yielded a dataset with 17,527 indexed images and 20,908 crystals. This means that
820 approx. 19% of the images contained multiple diffraction patterns. Data were merged using partialator with --
821 model=unity, --symmetry=mmm, and number of iterations=1. Finally, 20,866 crystals were used for merging
822 together after partialator rejection.

Time-resolved crystallography data of the M state of *BcXeR* (7.5-ms-long snapshot) were collected by illuminating the crystals at a 4 Hz repetition rate with a 532 nm pump laser focused to the laser spot directly at the intersection between the crystal stream and the X-ray beam of 180 μm in diameter ($1/e^2$). Per each laser pulse a series of 33 consecutive snapshots was collected. The laser was triggered simultaneously with the detector. The length of the laser pulse was 7.5 ms. The energy of a single laser pulse was 540 μJ with laser power density of 283 W/cm^2 , which is ~ 7.5 times higher than the power density used in previous time-resolved studies of microbial rhodopsins at a synchrotron source^{14,15}. These illumination conditions resulted in an uncorrected value of ~ 150 -600 photons per retinal depending on crystal orientation (protein concentration in crystals was 21 mM and an extinction coefficient value used was 50,000 $\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$); however, this calculation does not account for the laser beam scattering by the jet and light absorption by the mesophase itself. The latter issue is especially valid in the case of *BcXeR*, since the final mesophase in which the crystals were delivered was not fully transparent probably due to the change of the phase type under crystallization conditions. We assume that the above-mentioned factors reduce the real number of photons per retinal molecule. Nevertheless, the experiment was performed in a multiphoton regime. The laser power was set to its maximum value in order to increase the occupancy of the intermediate state. Analysis of the diffraction patterns collected with and without laser illumination showed that the diffraction quality was not affected by the laser illumination. Data were collected at a frame rate of 133 Hz using the 16M region of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. Use of the 16M detector vs its 4M mode (as in the 500 μs time-resolved experiment) allows for a large sample-detector distance and a reduced background. The higher resolution of the 7.5 ms data vs the 500 μs data at lower dose is due to the use of the 16M mode. Since 7.5 ms is the shortest exposure period of EIGER2 X CdTe detector in a standard 16M mode, the 7.5 ms time resolution was chosen to sample the time interval of 0 to 250 ms. Moreover, the size of the laser spot, the laser pulse duration, the repetition rate of laser pulses, the jet speed and X-ray flux were set to match this time interval. Increasing the frame rate would require a proportional increase in the jet speed and hence in the sample consumption (by an order of magnitude), and a decrease in the duration of the laser pulse leading to lower M state occupancy. The start of the X-ray diffraction data acquisition for each series was electronically synchronized to the optical laser trigger. The overall time of data collection from a sample with a total volume of 40 μl was about 5 hours.

A total number of 3,958,702 detector images were collected and processed with CrystFEL (version 0.9.1) without any additional modification. Among the collected images, potential crystal hits were identified with SNR=5, --threshold=20, --highres=2.2 using the peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in CrystFEL, corresponding to an average hit rate of 10.8%. For indexing, indexer XGANDALF⁴⁵ was used with the --multi option enabled. Integration was performed using --int-radius=3,4,5. This yielded a dataset with 389,438 indexed images and 428,729 crystals. Data were scaled and merged together using partialator with --model=unity, --symmetry=mmm, and number of iterations=1 and datasets for each time point were splitted by partialator using the --custom-split option. Finally, 427,677 crystals were used for merging and splitting after partialator rejection. 12,449 crystals were merged for the 7.5-15-ms snapshot.

860

861 Time-resolved serial crystallography structure refinement

862

863 The models of the ground state of *BcXeR* at room temperature determined via serial crystallography were
864 built iteratively using the structure of *BcXeR* at 100 K as a starting model using Coot and REFMAC5. The
865 structures of the ground state of *BcXeR* at room temperature in the cases of the 500- μ s-long and the 7.5-ms-
866 long X-ray data acquisition per frame were identical (Extended Data Fig. 8).

867 In the case of the 250-750- μ s snapshot the $F_o^{\text{light}}-F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps built in PHENIX⁴⁶
868 identified structural rearrangement at the residues 81, 173, 136, and 207 similar to those shown in the case of
869 the cryotrapped L intermediate. However, in the case of time-resolved data, the occupancy of the intermediate,
870 the resolution, and the overall quality of the data were lower. Therefore, the model of the L state obtained with
871 the cryotrapping procedure was used as a starting model for the automated refinement in REFMAC5. The
872 additional water molecules appearing in the L state but not seen in the time-resolved data were removed from
873 the starting model. Only atom positions of the L state were refined; the atom positions of the ground state
874 were fixed. B-factor were also refined for all atoms. The final occupancy of the L state in the case of time-
875 resolved serial crystallography data was 20%.

876 In the case of the series of 33 7.5-ms-long snapshots of the *BcXeR* following the laser excitation, the $F_o^{\text{light}}-$
877 F_o^{dark} difference electron density maps built in PHENIX for each time point were similar and identified
878 structural rearrangements almost identical to those shown in the case of the cryotrapped M intermediate at all
879 33 time points. F_o^{dark} corresponds to the dark state of *BcXeR*, F_o^{light} corresponds to each of the 33 datasets
880 collected following the laser excitation in the time-resolved experiments. To build the model of the
881 intermediate state we used extrapolated structure factors, calculated from two isomorphous datasets using
882 linear approximation as follows: $F_o^{\text{extrapolated}} = (1/\alpha) * (F_o^{\text{light}} - (1-\alpha) * F_o^{\text{dark}})$, where α is the occupancy of the
883 intermediate state. For each time point, the α value was screened while calculating the extrapolated maps from
884 0.1 to 0.5 with a step of 0.05, and was selected to be in the range of 0.4-0.5, time-point dependent, via an
885 analysis of the electron density maps built using extrapolated structure factors. Subsequently, the model of the
886 intermediate state was manually built in Coot using electron density maps calculated using extrapolated
887 structure factors in accordance with the $F_o^{\text{light}}-F_o^{\text{dark}}$ difference electron density maps. The manual building
888 was performed for the 7.5-15-ms snapshot since it has the highest α value (0.5) corresponding to the highest
889 occupancy of the intermediate state. After that, the combined model was prepared containing the structures of
890 the ground and the intermediate states as alternative conformations (A and C, respectively). Then, the model
891 was automatically refined in REFMAC5 against each of the 33 datasets. Only atom positions of the M state
892 were refined; the atom positions of the ground state were fixed. B-factor were also refined for all atoms. The
893 analysis of the resulting intermediate state structures and electron difference maps confirmed their identity to
894 that of the M state of *BcXeR* obtained at 100 K. Final occupancies were identified using occupancy refinement
895 performed in REFMAC5. It showed that the occupancy of the M state varies from 62% (at the 7.5-15-ms

896 snapshot) to 43% (at the 240-247.5-ms snapshot). For the occupancy refinement all atom positions and B-
897 factors were fixed. Since all the models were identical and only differ in the occupancy of the intermediate
898 state, here we have only reported the one with the highest occupancy of the state (7.5-15-ms snapshot) (Table
899 2).

900

901 **Analysis of the X-ray radiation damage of the *BcXeR* crystallography data**

902

903 The X-ray-induced radiation damage is a critical issue in studying of microbial rhodopsins as demonstrated
904 earlier for *HsBR*⁴⁷. The most prominent signs of the X-ray radiation damage appear as negative difference
905 densities at the *HsBR* D85 (analog of D76 in *BcXeR*) and water molecules in close proximity of the RSB. The
906 decarboxylation rates were determined⁴⁷ at 12-15% MGy⁻¹.

907 In order to assess possible influence of X-ray-induced radiation damage on the findings presented in this work,
908 we estimated the X-ray dose for each of the reported datasets. In all cases, the X-ray dose rates were calculated
909 using RADDOSE (v4.0.1020)⁴⁸. The parameters used for calculation and the resulting doses are given in
910 Supplementary Table 2. In our single-crystal data average doses did not exceed 1.1 MGy, which corresponds
911 to less than 17% expected decarboxylation. Consistently, we have not observed any negative electron densities
912 at carboxylic residues including D76, as well as at water molecules near the RSB and in other regions.

913 In the case of time-resolved experiments the data were collected in the shutterless mode, therefore the total
914 exposure time was estimated as the time within which the jet front traverses the full beam width at half
915 maximum, accounting for the 20° angle of the jet to vertical (1 and 5 ms for the fast and slow time-resolved
916 experiments, respectively). In case of the fast experiment, the traversal time is longer than the detector
917 integration time. Therefore, the average exposure to the beam effectively contributing to diffraction data varies
918 uniformly between 0.5 ms (at any point entering the beam during detector frame integration) and 1.0 ms (at
919 any point exiting the beam). Resulting average exposure time 0.75 ms was used for estimating the average
920 dose (400 kGy). We have not observed any signs of X-ray-induced radiation damage in the electron densities
921 of the serial time-resolved crystallography data. Thus, also a minor fraction of the molecules was damaged by
922 X-rays the effects of this does were not visible at 2.2-2.3 Å resolution.

923 **Data Availability**

924

925 Atomic models built using X-ray crystallography data have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank
926 with PDB codes 7ZMY (for the ground state at pH 8.2 at 100 K), 7ZN3 (for the L state at pH 8.2 at 100 K),
927 7ZN0 (for the M state at pH 8.2 at 100 K), 7ZN8 (for the ground state at pH 7.0 at 100 K), 7ZN9 (for the M
928 state at pH 7.0 at 100 K), 7ZNA (for the ground state at pH 5.2 at 100 K), 7ZNB (for the M state at pH 5.2 at
929 100 K), 7ZNC (for the ground state at pH 7.6 at 100 K in the absence of sodium), 7ZND (for the M state at
930 pH 7.6 at 100 K in the absence of sodium), 7ZNE (for the ground state at pH 8.2 at 293 K with 7.5-ms-long
931 exposure), 7ZNI (for the 7.5-15-ms snapshot at pH 8.2 at 293 K), 7ZNG (for the ground state at pH 8.2 at
932 293 K with 500- μ s-long exposure), and 7ZNH (for the 250-750- μ s snapshot at pH 8.2 at 293 K). Extrapolated
933 structure factors and phases for the intermediate states of *Bc*XeR are available from Zenodo
934 (10.5281/zenodo.7612803). Publicly available in RCSB Protein Data Bank structures of *Ns*XeR (PDB ID:
935 6EYU) and *Hs*BR (PDB IDs: 5ZIL, 7Z0D, 7Z0E, 6RPH) were used for analysis.

936

937 **Methods-only References**

- 938 26. Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat.*
939 *Methods* **12**, 59–60 (2015).
- 940 27. Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G. & Sonnhammer, E. L. Predicting transmembrane protein
941 topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *J. Mol. Biol.* **305**, 567–580
942 (2001).
- 943 28. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display
944 and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, W293–W296 (2021).
- 945 29. Studier, F. W. Protein production by auto-induction in high-density shaking cultures. *Protein Expr.*
946 *Purif.* **41**, 207–234 (2005).
- 947 30. Drachev, L. A. *et al.* Direct measurement of electric current generation by cytochrome oxidase, H⁺-
948 ATPase and bacteriorhodopsin. *Nature* **249**, 321–324 (1974).
- 949 31. Drachev, L. A., Kaulen, A. D., Khitrina, L. V. & Skulachev, V. P. Fast Stages of Photoelectric Processes
950 in Biological Membranes. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **117**, 461–470 (1981).
- 951 32. Rokitskaya, T. I. *et al.* Rhodopsin Channel Activity Can Be Evaluated by Measuring the Photocurrent
952 Voltage Dependence in Planar Bilayer Lipid Membranes. *Biochem. Mosc.* **86**, 409–419 (2021).
- 953 33. Kovalev, K. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of light-driven sodium pumping. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2137
954 (2020).
- 955 34. Bratanov, D. *et al.* Unique structure and function of viral rhodopsins. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4939 (2019).
- 956 35. von Stetten, D. *et al.* In crystallo optical spectroscopy (icOS) as a complementary tool on the
957 macromolecular crystallography beamlines of the ESRF. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **71**, 15–
958 26 (2015).
- 959 36. Kabsch, W. XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 125–132 (2010).
- 960 37. STARANISO (<http://staraniso.globalphasing.org/cgi-bin/staraniso.cgi>).
961 https://staraniso.globalphasing.org/staraniso_FAQ.html.
- 962 38. Vagin, A. & Teplyakov, A. MOLREP: an Automated Program for Molecular Replacement. *J. Appl.*
963 *Crystallogr.* **30**, 1022–1025 (1997).

964 39. Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol.*
965 *Crystallogr.* **67**, 235–242 (2011).

966 40. Vogeley, L. *et al.* Anabaena Sensory Rhodopsin: A Photochromic Color Sensor at 2.0 Å. *Science* **306**,
967 1390–1393 (2004).

968 41. Murshudov, G. N. *et al.* REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta*
969 *Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **67**, 355–367 (2011).

970 42. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta*
971 *Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).

972 43. Weierstall, U. *et al.* Lipidic cubic phase injector facilitates membrane protein serial femtosecond
973 crystallography. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3309 (2014).

974 44. White, T. A. *et al.* CrystFEL: a software suite for snapshot serial crystallography. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*
975 **45**, 335–341 (2012).






976 45. Gevorkov, Y. *et al.* XGANDALF – extended gradient descent algorithm for lattice finding. *Acta*
977 *Crystallogr. Sect. Found. Adv.* **75**, 694–704 (2019).

978 46. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure
979 solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).


980 47. Borshchevskiy, V. I., Round, E. S., Popov, A. N., Büldt, G. & Gordeliy, V. I. X-ray-Radiation-Induced
981 Changes in Bacteriorhodopsin Structure. *J. Mol. Biol.* **409**, 813–825 (2011).

982 48. Paithankar, K. S. & Garman, E. F. Know your dose: RADDOS. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*
983 **66**, 381–388 (2010).


984




A





D









B




C




A**B****C****D**

Ground state






$h\nu$









M state



L state









View from the extracellular side


BcXeR






NsXeR








HsBR




View from the cytoplasmic side






A




B






	Retinal Schiff Base region										PTG					Intracellular part										Extracellular part										DT
BcXeR num.	207	73	45	77	203	9	49	74	206	176	34	88	214	161		81	210	211	84	41	38	173	169		70	6	118	195	192	71	185	180	67		107	78
BcXeR	K	D	S	S	P	Y	Y	W	S	Y	E	T	D	H		L	F	S	A	I	A	W	L		R	H	D	E	E	Y	S	W	Y		D	T
PoXeR	K	D	S	T	P	Y	Y	W	S	Y	E	T	D	I		L	F	S	L	I	A	W	L		R	L	D	S	P	Y	S	W	Y		D	T
RmXeR	K	D	S	T	P	Y	Y	W	S	Y	E	T	D	I		L	F	S	A	I	A	W	L		R	Y	D	D	Q	Y	S	W	Y		D	T
ASR	K	D	S	T	P	Y	Y	W	S	Y	E	T	D	L		L	F	S	S	I	A	W	F		R	H	D	D	Q	Y	S	W	H		Q	T
PpaSR	K	D	S	S	P	Y	Y	W	S	Y	E	T	D	L		L	F	S	A	I	A	W	V		R	Y	D	D	P	Y	S	W	Y		Q	T
AaSR	K	D	S	T	P	Y	Y	W	S	Y	E	T	D	F		L	F	S	A	I	A	W	F		R	H	D	D	Q	Y	S	W	H		Q	T
AlkXeR	K	D	S	S	P	Y	Y	W	C	Y	F	S	D	E		I	F	G	A	I	H	W	V		F	I	H	V	D	Y	-	W	E		Q	T
NsXeR	K	D	S	S	P	Y	Y	W	C	Y	F	T	D	A		I	Y	G	A	I	H	F	I		W	I	Q	T	P	Y	G	W	D		E	T
HrvXeR	K	D	S	S	P	Y	Y	W	C	Y	F	T	D	K		I	Y	G	A	I	H	F	I		W	I	Q	T	A	Y	E	W	D		E	T





C


















D










A**B****C**

pH


Sodium

No sodium


8.2



7.6



7.0



5.2

